



1852.

No. 4.

An Act to adopt a certain Act of Parliament entitled "An Act for further improving the Administration of Criminal Justice."

[Assented to 11th October, 1852.]

WHEREAS an Act was passed in the fifteenth year of the Reign of Her present Majesty, entitled "An Act for the further improving the Administration of Criminal Justice," and it is expedient that the provisions of such Act should be adopted in this Province:

Be it therefore Enacted, by the Lieutenant-Governor of South Australia, with the advice and consent of the Legislative Council thereof, That, from and after the passing of this Act, the said Act for the further improving the administration of criminal justice shall have the force of law, and be applied in this Province, in like manner as other laws of England are therein applied: Provided that nothing in the said Act contained shall be held to repeal or vary the provisions of a certain Ordinance, No. 2 of 1849, "For the Removal of Defects in the Administration of Criminal Justice."

JOHN MORPHETT, Speaker.

*Passed the Legislative Council this twenty-
eighth day of September, one thousand
eight hundred and fifty-two.*

F. C. SINGLETON,
Clerk of the Legislative Council.

In the name and on the behalf of Her Majesty I assent to this Act.

H. E. F. YOUNG,
Lieutenant-Governor.

Government House, Adelaide,
October 11, 1852.

ACT OF PARLIAMENT REFERRED TO.

ANNO DECIMO QUARTO & DECIMO QUINTO

VICTORIÆ REGINÆ.

CAP. C.

An Act for further improving the Administration of Criminal Justice.—

[7th August, 1851.]

WHEREAS offenders frequently escape conviction on their trials by reason of the technical strictness of criminal proceedings in matters not material to the merits of the case: And whereas such technical strictness may safely be relaxed in many instances, so as to ensure the punishment of the guilty, without depriving the accused of any just means of defence: And whereas a failure of justice often takes place on the trial of persons charged with felony and misdemeanor by reason of variances between the statement in the indictment on which the trial is had and the proof of names, dates, matters, and circumstances therein mentioned, not material to the merits of the case, and by the mis-statement whereof the person on trial cannot have been prejudiced in his defence: Be it therefore Enacted by the Queen's most Excellent Majesty, by and with the advice and consent of the Lords Spiritual and Temporal, and Commons, in this present Parliament assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

The Court may amend certain variances not material to the merits of the case, and by which the defendant cannot be prejudiced in his defence, and may either proceed with or postpone the trial to be had before the same or another Jury.

1. From and after the coming of this Act into operation, whenever on the trial of any indictment for any felony or misdemeanor there shall appear to be any variance between the statement in such indictment and the evidence offered in proof thereof, in the name of any County, Riding, Division, City, Borough, Town Corporate, Parish, Township, or Place mentioned or described in any such indictment, or in the name and description of any person or persons, or body politic or corporate, therein stated or alleged to be the owner or owners of any property, real or personal, which shall form the subject of any offence charged therein, or in the name or description of any person or persons, body politic or corporate, therein stated or alleged to be injured or damaged or intended to be injured or damaged by the commission of such offence, or in the christian name or surname, or both christian name and surname, or other description whatsoever, of any person or persons whomsoever therein named or described, or in the name or description of any matter or thing whatsoever therein named or described, or in the ownership of any property named or described therein, it shall and may be lawful for the Court before which the trial shall be had, if it shall consider such variance not material to the merits of the case,

case, and that the defendant cannot be prejudiced thereby in his defence on such merits, to order such indictment to be amended, according to the proof, by some Officer of the Court or other person, both in that part of the indictment where such variance occurs, and in every other part of the indictment which it may become necessary to amend, on such terms as to postponing the trial to be had before the same or another Jury, as such Court shall think reasonable; and after any such amendment the trial shall proceed, whenever the same shall be proceeded with, in the same manner in all respects, and with the same consequences, both with respect to the liability of witnesses to be indicted for perjury and otherwise, as if no such variance had occurred; and in case such trial shall be had at Nisi Prius the order for the amendment shall be endorsed on the postea, and returned together with the record, and thereupon such papers, rolls, or other records of the Court from which such record issued as it may be necessary to amend shall be amended accordingly by the proper Officer, and in all other cases the order for the amendment shall either be endorsed on the indictment, or shall be engrossed on parchment, and filed, together with the indictment, among the records of the Court: Provided that in all such cases where the trial shall be so postponed as aforesaid, it shall be lawful for such Court to respite the recognizances of the prosecutor and witnesses, and of the defendant, and of his surety or sureties, if any, accordingly, in which case the prosecutor and witnesses shall be bound to attend to prosecute and give evidence respectively, and the defendant shall be bound to attend to be tried, at the time and place to which such trial shall be postponed, without entering into any fresh recognizances for that purpose, in such and the same manner as if they were originally bound by their recognizances to appear and prosecute or give evidence at the time and place to which such trial shall have been so postponed: Provided also, that where any such trial shall be to be had before another Jury the Crown and the defendant shall respectively be entitled to the same challenges as they were respectively entitled to before the first Jury was sworn.

2. Every verdict and judgment which shall be given after the making of any amendment under the provisions of this Act shall be of the same force and effect in all respects as if the indictment had originally been in the same form in which it was after such amendment was made.

Verdicts and judgments valid after amendments.

3. If it shall become necessary at any time for any purpose whatsoever to draw up a formal record in any case where any amendment shall have been made under the provisions of this Act, such record shall be drawn up in the form in which the indictment was after such amendment was made, without taking any notice of the fact of such amendment having been made.

Records to be drawn up in amended form without noticing the amendments.

In any indictment for murder or manslaughter preferred after the coming of this Act into operation it shall not be necessary to set forth the manner in which or the means by which the death

The means by which the injury was inflicted need not be specified in indictments for murder and manslaughter.

of the deceased was caused, but it shall be sufficient in every indictment for murder to charge that the defendant did feloniously, wilfully, and of his malice aforethought kill and murder the deceased, and it shall be sufficient in every indictment for manslaughter to charge that the defendant did feloniously kill and slay the deceased.

Forms of indictment in cases of forgery and uttering, stealing and embezzling, or obtaining by false pretences.

5. In any indictment for forging, uttering, stealing, embezzling, destroying, or concealing, or of obtaining by false pretences any instrument, it shall be sufficient to describe such instrument by any name or designation by which the same may usually be known, or by the purport thereof, without setting out any copy or fac-simile thereof, or otherwise describing the same or the value thereof.

In engraving plates, &c.

6. In any indictment for engraving or making the whole or any part of any instrument, matter, or thing whatsoever, or for using or having the unlawful possession of any plate or other material upon which the whole or any part of any instrument, matter, or thing whatsoever shall have been engraved or made, or for having the unlawful possession of any paper upon which the whole or any part of any instrument, matter, or thing whatsoever shall have been made or printed, it shall be sufficient to describe such instrument, matter, or thing by any name or designation by which the same may be usually known, without setting out any copy or fac-simile of the whole or any part of such instrument, matter, or thing.

In other cases.

7. In all other cases wherever it shall be necessary to make any averment in any indictment as to any instrument, whether the same consists wholly or in part of writing, print, or figures, it shall be sufficient to describe such instrument by any name or designation by which the same may be usually known, or by the purport thereof, without setting out any copy or fac-simile of the whole or any part thereof.

Intent to defraud particular persons need not be alleged or proved in cases of forgery, uttering, or false pretences.

8. From and after the coming of this Act into operation it shall be sufficient in any indictment for forging, uttering, offering, disposing of, or putting off any instrument whatsoever, or for obtaining or attempting to obtain any property by false pretences, to allege that the defendant did the act with intent to defraud, without alleging the intent of the defendant to be to defraud any particular person; and on the trial of any of the offences in this section mentioned, it shall not be necessary to prove an intent on the part of the defendant to defraud any particular person, but it shall be sufficient to prove that the defendant did the act charged with an intent to defraud.

A party indicted for felony or misdemeanor may be found guilty of an attempt to commit the same, and shall be liable to the same

9. And whereas offenders often escape conviction by reason that such persons ought to have been charged with attempting to commit offences, and not with the actual commission thereof: For remedy thereof be it Enacted, That if on the trial of any person charged with any felony or misdemeanor it shall appear to the Jury upon the

the evidence that the defendant did not complete the offence charged, but that he was guilty only of an attempt to commit the same, such person shall not by reason thereof be entitled to be acquitted, but the Jury shall be at liberty to return as their verdict that the defendant is not guilty of the felony or misdemeanor charged, but is guilty of an attempt to commit the same, and thereupon such person shall be liable to be punished in the same manner as if he had been convicted upon an indictment for attempting to commit the particular felony or misdemeanor charged in the said indictment; and no person so tried as herein lastly mentioned shall be liable to be afterwards prosecuted for an attempt to commit the felony or misdemeanor for which he was so tried.

consequences as if charged with and convicted of the attempt only.

No person so tried to be afterwards prosecuted for the same.

10. And whereas it is enacted by a certain Act of Parliament passed in the first year of the reign of Her present Majesty Queen Victoria, intituled "An Act to amend the Laws relating to Offences against the Person," that "on the trial of any person for any of the offences therein-before mentioned, or for any felony whatever where the crime charged shall include an assault against the person, it shall be lawful for the Jury to acquit of the felony, and to find a verdict of guilty of assault against the person indicted, if the evidence shall warrant such finding:" And whereas great difficulties have arisen in the construction of such Enactment: For remedy thereof be it enacted, That the said Enactment shall be and the same is hereby repealed.

Repeal of the 11th Section of 7 W. 4. & 1 Vict. c. 85.

11. If upon the trial of any person upon any indictment for robbery, it shall appear to the Jury upon the evidence that the defendant did not commit the crime of robbery, but that he did commit an assault with intent to rob, the defendant shall not by reason thereof be entitled to be acquitted, but the Jury shall be at liberty to return as their verdict that the defendant is guilty of an assault with intent to rob, and thereupon such defendant shall be liable to be punished in the same manner as if he had been convicted upon an indictment for feloniously assaulting with intent to rob; and no person so tried as is herein lastly mentioned shall be liable to be afterwards prosecuted for an assault with intent to commit the robbery for which he was so tried.

On the trial of an indictment for robbery the jury may convict of an assault with intent to rob.

No person so tried to be afterwards prosecuted for the same.

12. If upon the trial of any person for any misdemeanor it shall appear that the facts given in evidence amount in law to a felony, such person shall not by reason thereof be entitled to be acquitted of such misdemeanor; and no person tried for such misdemeanor shall be liable to be afterwards prosecuted for felony on the same facts, unless the Court before which such trial may be had shall think fit, in its discretion, to discharge the Jury from giving any verdict upon such trial, and to direct such person to be indicted for felony, in which case such person may be dealt with in all respects as if he had not been put upon his trial for such misdemeanor.

Person tried for misdemeanor not to be acquitted if the offence turn out to be felony, unless the Court so direct.

13. If upon the trial of any person indicted for embezzlement

Person indicted for

embezzlement as a Clerk, &c., not to be acquitted if the offence turn out to be larceny, and *vice versa*.

as a clerk, servant, or person employed for the purpose or in the capacity of a clerk or servant, it shall be proved that he took the property in question in any such manner as to amount in law to larceny, he shall not by reason thereof be entitled to be acquitted, but the Jury shall be at liberty to return as their verdict that such person is not guilty of embezzlement, but is guilty of simple larceny, or of larceny as a clerk, servant, or person employed for the purpose or in the capacity of a clerk or servant, as the case may be, and thereupon such person shall be liable to be punished in the same manner as if he had been convicted upon an indictment for such larceny; and if upon the trial of any person indicted for larceny it shall be proved that he took the property in question in any such manner as to amount in law to embezzlement, he shall not by reason thereof be entitled to be acquitted, but the Jury shall be at liberty to return as their verdict that such person is not guilty of larceny, but is guilty of embezzlement, and thereupon such person shall be liable to be punished in the same manner as if he had been convicted upon an indictment for such embezzlement; and no person so tried for embezzlement or larceny as aforesaid shall be liable to be afterwards prosecuted for larceny or embezzlement upon the same facts.

Upon an indictment for jointly receiving, persons guilty of separately receiving may be convicted.

14. If upon the trial of two or more persons indicted for jointly receiving any property it shall be proved that one or more of such persons separately received any part of such property, it shall be lawful for the Jury to convict upon such indictment such of the said persons as shall be proved to have received any part of such property.

Separate accessories and receivers may be included in the same indictment in the absence of the principal felon.

15. And whereas it frequently happens that the principal in a felony is not in custody or amenable to justice, although several accessories to such felony or receivers at different times of stolen property, the subject of such felony, may be in custody or amenable to justice: for the prevention of several trials, be it enacted that any number of such accessories or receivers may be charged with substantive felonies in the same indictment, notwithstanding the principal felon shall not be included in the same indictment, or shall not be in custody, or amenable to justice.

Three larcenies from the same person within six months may be included in the same indictment.

16. It shall be lawful to insert several counts in the same indictment against the same person for any number of distinct acts of stealing not exceeding three, which may have been committed by him against the same person within the space of six calendar months from the first to the last of such acts, and to proceed thereon for all or any of them.

Where a single taking is charged, the prosecutor not required to elect, unless it appear that there were more than three takings, or more than six months

17. If upon the trial of any indictment for larceny it shall appear that the property alleged in such indictment to have been stolen at one time was taken at different times, the prosecutor shall not by reason thereof be required to elect upon which taking he will proceed, unless it shall appear that there were more than three

three takings, or that more than the space of six calendar months elapsed between the first and the last of such takings; and in either of such last-mentioned cases the prosecutor shall be required to elect to proceed for such number of takings, not exceeding three, as appear to have taken place within the period of six calendar months from the first to the last of such takings.

between the first and last taking.

18. In every indictment in which it shall be necessary to make any averment as to any money or any note of the Bank of England or any other Bank, it shall be sufficient to describe such money or bank note simply as money, without specifying any particular coin or bank note; and such allegation, so far as regards the description of the property, shall be sustained by proof of any amount of coin or of any bank note, although the particular species of coin of which such amount was composed, or the particular nature of the bank note, shall not be proved, and in cases of embezzlement and obtaining money or bank notes by false pretences, by proof that the offender embezzled or obtained any piece of coin or any bank note, or any portion of the value thereof, although such piece of coin or bank note may have been delivered to him in order that some part of the value thereof should be returned to the party delivering the same, or to any other person, and such part shall have been returned accordingly.

Coin and bank notes may be described simply as money.

19. Whereas by an Act of Parliament passed in England in the twenty-third year of the reign of His late Majesty King George the Second, intituled "An Act to render Prosecutions for Perjury and Subornation of Perjury more easy and effectual," and by a certain other Act of Parliament made in Ireland in the thirty-first year of the Reign of His late Majesty King George the Third, intituled "An Act to render Prosecutions for Perjury and Subornation of Perjury more easy and effectual, and for affirming the Jurisdiction of the Quarter Sessions in cases of Perjury," certain Provisions were made to prevent persons guilty of perjury and subornation of perjury from escaping punishment by reason of the difficulties attending such prosecutions: And whereas it is expedient to amend and extend the same: Be it Enacted, That it shall and may be lawful for the Judges or Judge of any of the superior Courts of Common Law or Equity, or for any of Her Majesty's Justices or Commissioners of Assize, Nisi Prius, Oyer and Terminer, or Gaol Delivery, or for any Justices of the Peace, Recorder or Deputy Recorder, Chairman, or other Judge, holding any General or Quarter Sessions of the Peace, or for any Commissioner of Bankruptcy or Insolvency, or for any Judge or Deputy Judge of any County Court or any Court of Record, or for any Justices of the Peace in Special or Petty Sessions, or for any Sheriff or his lawful Deputy before whom any writ of inquiry or writ of trial from any of the Superior Courts shall be executed, in case it shall appear to him or them that any person has been guilty of wilful and corrupt perjury in any evidence given, or in any affidavit, deposition, examination, answer, or other proceeding made or taken before him or them, to

Certain provisions of 23 G. 2, c. 11, and 31 G. 3, c. (1.) extended.

Any Court, Judge, Justice, &c., may direct a person guilty of perjury in any evidence, &c., to be prosecuted;

direct

and commit the party, unless he enter into recognizances to appear and take his trial, and bind persons to give evidence ;

and give certificate of prosecution, being directed, which shall be sufficient evidence of the same.

direct such person to be prosecuted for such perjury, in case there shall appear to him or them a reasonable cause for such prosecution, and to commit such person so directed to be prosecuted until the next Session of Oyer and Terminer or Gaol Delivery for the County or other district within which such perjury was committed, unless such person shall enter into a recognizance, with one or more sufficient surety or sureties, conditioned for the appearance of such person at such next Session of Oyer and Terminer or Gaol Delivery, and that he will then surrender and take his trial, and not depart the Court without leave, and to require any person he or they may think fit to enter into a recognizance, conditioned to prosecute or give evidence against such person so directed to be prosecuted as aforesaid, and to give to the party so bound to prosecute a certificate of the same being directed, which certificate shall be given without any fee or charge, and shall be deemed sufficient proof of such prosecution having been directed as aforesaid; and upon the production thereof the costs of such prosecution shall and are hereby required to be allowed by the Court before which any person shall be prosecuted or tried in pursuance of such direction as aforesaid, unless such last-mentioned Court shall specially otherwise direct; and when allowed by any such Court in Ireland such sum as shall be so allowed shall be ordered by the said Court to be paid to the prosecutor by the Treasurer of the County in which such offence shall be alleged to have been committed, and the same shall be presented for, raised, and levied in the same manner as the expenses of prosecutions for felonies are now presented for, raised, and levied in Ireland: Provided always, that no such direction or certificate shall be given in evidence upon any trial to be had against any person upon a prosecution so directed as aforesaid.

Extending the 23 G. 2, c. 11, s. 1, to other offences, and simplifying indictments for perjury and other like offences.

20. In every indictment for perjury, or for unlawfully, wilfully, falsely, fraudulently, deceitfully, maliciously, or corruptly taking, making, signing, or subscribing any oath, affirmation, declaration, affidavit, deposition, bill, answer, notice, certificate, or other writing, it shall be sufficient to set forth the substance of the offence charged upon the defendant, and by what Court or before whom the oath, affirmation, declaration, affidavit, deposition, bill, answer, notice, certificate, or other writing, was taken, made, signed, or subscribed, without setting forth the bill, answer, information, indictment, declaration, or any part of any proceeding either in law or in equity, and without setting forth the commission or authority of the Court or person before whom such offence was committed.

Extending the 23 G. 2, c. 11, s. 2, as to form of indictments for subornation of perjury and other like offences.

21. In every indictment for subornation of perjury, or for corrupt bargaining or contracting with any person to commit wilful and corrupt perjury, or for inciting, causing, or procuring any person unlawfully, wilfully, falsely, fraudulently, deceitfully, maliciously, or corruptly to take, make, sign, or subscribe any oath, affirmation, declaration, affidavit, deposition, bill, answer, notice, certificate, or other writing, it shall be sufficient, wherever such perjury or other offence aforesaid shall have been actually committed, to allege the offence

offence of the person who actually committed such perjury or other offence in the manner herein-before mentioned, and then to allege that the defendant unlawfully, wilfully, and corruptly did cause and procure the said person the said offence, in manner and form aforesaid, to do and commit; and wherever such perjury or other offence aforesaid shall not have been actually committed, it shall be sufficient to set forth the substance of the offence charged upon the defendant, without setting forth or averring any of the matters or things herein-before rendered unnecessary to be set forth or averred in the case of wilful and corrupt perjury.

22. A certificate containing the substance and effect only (omitting the formal part) of the indictment and trial for any felony or misdemeanor, purporting to be signed by the clerk of the Court or other officer having the custody of the records of the Court where such indictment was tried, or by the deputy of such clerk or other officer, (for which certificate a fee of Six Shillings and Eightpence and no more shall be demanded or taken,) shall upon the trial of any indictment for perjury or subornation of perjury, be sufficient evidence of the trial of such indictment for felony or misdemeanor, without proof of the signature or official character of the person appearing to have signed the same.

On trials for perjury and subornation, a certificate of the trial of the indictment on which the perjury was committed sufficient evidence of such trial.

23. It shall not be necessary to state any venue in the body of any indictment, but the county, city, or other jurisdiction named in the margin thereof shall be taken to be the venue for all the facts stated in the body of such indictment; provided that in cases where local description is or hereafter shall be required, such local description shall be given in the body of the indictment; and provided also, that where an indictment for an offence committed in the county of any city or town corporate shall be preferred at the assizes of the adjoining county, such county of the city or town shall be deemed the venue, and may either be stated in the margin of the indictment, with or without the name of the county in which the offender is to be tried, or be stated in the body of the indictment by way of venue.

Venue in the margin sufficient, except where local description is necessary.

24. No indictment for any offence shall be held insufficient for want of the averment of any matter unnecessary to be proved, nor for the omission of the words "as appears by the record," or of the words "with force and arms," or of the words "against the peace," nor for the insertion of the words "against the form of the Statute" instead of "against the form of the Statutes, or *vice versa*," nor for that any person mentioned in the indictment is designated by a name of office, or other descriptive appellation, instead of his proper name, nor for omitting to state the time at which the offence was committed in any case where time is not of the essence of the offence, nor for stating the time imperfectly, nor for stating the offence to have been committed on a day subsequent to the finding of the indictment, or on an impossible day, or on a day that never happened, nor for want of a proper or perfect venue, nor for want of

What defects shall not vitiate an indictment.

a proper or formal conclusion, nor for want of or imperfection in the addition of any defendant, nor for want of the statement of the value or price of any matter or thing, or the amount of damage, injury, or spoil, in any case where the value or price, or the amount of damage, injury, or spoil, is not of the essence of the offence.

Formal objections to indictments shall be taken before Jury are sworn. Court may amend any formal defect.

25. Every objection to any indictment for any formal defect apparent on the face thereof shall be taken, by demurrer or motion to quash such indictment, before the Jury shall be sworn, and not afterwards; and every Court before which any such objection shall be taken for any formal defect may, if it be thought necessary, cause the indictment to be forthwith amended in such particular by some officer of the Court or other person, and thereupon the trial shall proceed as if no such defect had appeared:

Repealing part of 60 G. 3, and 1 G. 4, c. 4, as to the traverse of indictments in cases of misdemeanor.

26. So much of a certain Act of Parliament passed in the sixtieth year of the reign of His late Majesty King George the Third, intituled "An Act to prevent delay in the Administration of Justice in cases of Misdemeanor," as provides that "where any person shall be prosecuted for any misdemeanor by indictment at any Session of the Peace, Session of Oyer and Terminer, Great Session, or Session of Gaol Delivery, within that part of Great Britain called England, or in Ireland, having been committed to custody or held to bail to appear to answer for such offence twenty days at the least before the Session at which such indictment shall be found, he or she shall plead to such indictment, and trial shall proceed thereupon, at such same Session of the Peace, Session of Oyer and Terminer, Great Session, or Session of Gaol Delivery respectively, unless a writ of *certiorari* for removing such indictment into His Majesty's Court of King's Bench at Westminster or in Dublin shall be delivered at such Session before the Jury shall be sworn for such trial," shall be, and the same is hereby repealed.

Provision as to traversing indictments.

27. No person prosecuted shall be entitled to traverse or postpone the trial of any indictment found against him at any Session of the Peace, Session of Oyer and Terminer, or Session of Gaol Delivery: Provided always, that if the Court, upon the application of the person so indicted or otherwise, shall be of opinion that he ought to be allowed a further time, either to prepare for his defence or otherwise, such Court may adjourn the trial of such person to the next subsequent Session, upon such terms as to bail or otherwise as to such Court shall seem meet, and may respite the recognizances of the prosecutor and witnesses accordingly, in which case the prosecutor and witnesses shall be bound to attend to prosecute and give evidence at such subsequent session without entering into any fresh recognizance for that purpose.

Provision as to plea of autrefois convict or autrefois acquit.

28. In any plea of autrefois convict or autrefois acquit it shall be sufficient for any defendant to state that he has been lawfully convicted or acquitted (as the case may be) of the said offence charged in the indictment.

29. Whenever

29. Whenever any person shall be convicted of any one of the offences following, as an indictable misdemeanor; that is to say, any cheat or fraud punishable at common law; any conspiracy to cheat or defraud, or to extort money or goods, or falsely to accuse of any crime, or to obstruct, prevent, pervert, or defeat the course of public justice; any escape or rescue from lawful custody on a criminal charge; any public and indecent exposure of the person; any indecent assault, or any assault occasioning actual bodily harm; any attempt to have carnal knowledge of a girl under twelve years of age; any public selling, or exposing for sale or to public view, of any obscene book, print, picture, or other indecent exhibition; it shall be lawful for the Court to sentence the offender to be imprisoned for any term now warranted by law, and also to be kept to hard labor during the whole or any part of such term of imprisonment.

Punishment for certain indictable misdemeanors.

30. In the construction of this Act the word "indictment" shall be understood to include "information," "inquisition," and "presentment," as well as indictment, and also any "plea," "replication," or other pleading, and any Nisi Prius record; and the terms "finding of the indictment" shall be understood to include "the taking of an inquisition," "the exhibiting of an information," and "the making a presentment;" and wherever in this Act, in describing or referring to any person or party, matter or thing, any word importing the singular number or masculine gender is used, the same shall be understood to include and shall be applied to several persons and parties as well as one person or party, and females as well as males, and bodies corporate as well as individuals, and several matters and things as well as one matter or thing; and the word "property" shall be understood to include goods, chattels, money, valuable securities, and every other matter or thing, whether real or personal, upon or with respect to which any offence may be committed.

Interpretation of terms.

31. This Act shall come into operation on the first day of September, one thousand eight hundred and fifty-one.

Commencement of Act.

32. Nothing in this Act shall extend to Scotland.

Not to extend to Scotland.